Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

George Subh, S/o Sh. Rustam Masih, Begowal, Ward No.12, Tehsil Bholath, District Kapurthala – 144 621

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Assistant Inspector General of Police, GRP, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, Inspector General of Police, GRP, District Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 1989 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent ASI Naresh Kumar (for the Respondent) 94173-28900

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 14.1.2021)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **6.5.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding Tentative Seniority list of Constables and a certified copy of the Scheduled Caste certificate submitted by Constable Jasvir Masih (No. 713/GRP, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **20.6.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **29.7.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. This appeal case was last heard on **14.1.2021**.
- 2. The appellant is absent but has intimated to this Commission of his inability to attend this hearing. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Naresh Kumar, is present through Video Conference Facility from Patiala. The respondent PIO, in a reply (vide letter no. 1230/RTI dated: 9.1.2021) to this Commission's Notice, had submitted that the information was denied as per Section 8(1)(j) and Section 11 of the RTI Act, 2005 vide letter no, 1847/RTI dated 21.5.2020. The PIO's decision was also supported by FAA vide his Order (No.26277/RTI dated 27.6.2021) After examining this RTI application as well as the PIO and the FAA's decision, this Commission concurs that the information sought in this RTI application qualifies for exemption as personal and third party information as per Section 8(1)(j) and Section 11 of the RTI Act.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 28.7.2021

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Bhupinder Singh, S/o Gurjail Singh, VPO Bahmna, Tehsil Samana, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Tehsildar, Samana, District Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Samana, District Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 3932 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Bhupinder Singh (Appellant) 99151-02773 Hakam Singh, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 73472-26222

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **24.3.2021**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **20.8.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding action on Letter No. 348/DA dated 11.2.2020 and a certified copy of a <u>nishandehi</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **28.9.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **8.12.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. This appeal case was last heard on **24.3.2021**.
- 2. Both parties are present through Video Conference Facility from Patiala. As directed by this Commission on 24.3.2021, the respondent PIO has supplied the requested information vide Letter No. 862/Reader dated 31.3.2021. The appellant confirmed that he has received the requested information and satisfied with it.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 28.7.2021

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Bhupinder Singh, S/o Gurjail Singh, VPO Bahmna, Tehsil Samana, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner, District Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Commissioner, Patiala Division, District Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 3933 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Bhupinder Singh (Appellant) 99151-02773 Hamir Singh, APIO-cum-Superintendent (for the Respondent) 96467-00910

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **24.3.2021**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated 10.9.2020 vide which the appellant has sought information regarding application (No. 715618/P dated 4.2.2020), copy of the enquiry report and a certified copy of a fard issued on 30.11.19 along with a copy of Mutation No. 7220, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 12.10.2020 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 8.12.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. This appeal case was last heard on 24.3.2021.
- 2. Both parties are present through Video Conference Facility from Patiala. The appellant Bhupinder Singh, has stated that he has been supplied most of the information but nothing has been given pertaining to point 3 of his RTI application. The respondent PIO, represented by Hamir Singh, APIO-cum-Superintendent, stated that the said application is still under process.
- 3. The respondent PIO is directed to give a written reply with regard to point 3. A copy of the same is to be sent to this Commission.
- 4. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 28.7.2021

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Bhupinder Singh (Secretary District Congress), S/o Gurjail Singh, VPO Bahmna, Tehsil Samana, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Samana, District Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Commissioner, District Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 3931 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Bhupinder Singh (Appellant) 99151-02773 Hakam Singh, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 73472-26222

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 24.3.2021)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **10.7.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding his complaint regarding Integal No. 4245**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **17.8.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **8.12.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. This appeal case was last heard on **24.3.2021**.
- 2. Both parties are present through Video Conference Facility from Patiala. As directed at the last hearing on 24.3.2021, the respondent PIO has submitted an affidavit. The appellant confirms that he received said affidavit and is satisfied with it.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 28.7.2021

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Mahinder Kumar Arora, S/o Baldev Raj, # 12, Street No. 3, Guru Nanak Nagar, Tripuri Town, Patiala – 140 401

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Municipal Corporation, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 3924 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Davinder Sharma, ATP (for the Respondent) 99888-00853

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 24.3.2021)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **24.4.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding Site Plan No. 1070 dated 26.10.2018 and action taken on applications dated **22.2.29**, 31.10.19 and 27.12.19 etc., as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **30.10.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **8.12.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. This appeal case was last heard on **24.3.2021**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO, represented by Davinder Sharma, ATP, was directed at the last hearing on 24.3.2021 to submit a hard copy of reply sent to the appellant. This has still not been done. The respondent PIO is directed to submit a copy of the said reply via email as well as by registered post immediately. As per the respondent the requested information has already been supplied to the appellant vide letter No. 848/DLD dated: 23.3.2021.
- 3. Notably, the appellant has been absent for both successive hearings of this appeal case, compelling the Commission to conclude that the appellant is satisfied with the information furnished by the respondent PIO.
- 4. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.
Chandigarh
28.7.2021

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Baldev Singh, S/o Kabal Singh, Village Majra Manna Singh Wala, Tehsil Amloh, District Fatehgarh Sahib-147203

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 774 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 94643-92733

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 24.3.2021)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **9.11.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding ASI Kamaljit Singh, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **15.12.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **3.2.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. This appeal case was last heard on **24.3.2021**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. At the last hearing on 24.3.2021, the respondent PIO had stated that the appellant has failed to provide specific details about the police official about whom he has sought information. The appellant, in a letter dated: 30.4.2021, provided such details including the Belt No. of the concerned police official. However, the respondent PIO vide Letter No. 34/AC-13 dated: 9.7.2021, has sought exemption from disclosing the requested information under Section 8(1)(g) of the RTI Act 2005. This Commission notes that the requested information pertains to a police official posted on the security detail of a senior political leader and concurs with the PIO that such information is exempt from disclosure as per Section 8(1)(g).
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 28.7.2021